Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/416,414	BHANDARI ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Ella Colbert	3624		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearance All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this app or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to	plication. If not include will be mailed in due	ed course. THIS	
1. This communication is responsive to <u>01 July 2005</u> .				
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 29-96.				
3. \boxtimes The drawings filed on <u>12 October 1999</u> are accepted by the	e Examiner.			
4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 5. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give 6. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the such sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the such sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the such sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the such sheet.	been received. been received in Application No cuments have been received in this r of this communication to file a reply of ENT of this application. itted. Note the attached EXAMINER's as reason(s) why the oath or declarate t be submitted. on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-6 a Amendment / Comment or in the O' 84(c)) should be written on the drawing the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d)	complying with the recomplying	quirements OTICE OF	
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT I			lote the	
Attachment(s)				
1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. Notice of Informal Pa	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •)-152)	
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No./Mail Date	6. X Interview Summary (PTO-413). Paper No./Mail Date <u>7-19-</u> 65		
Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date	<u></u>			
Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit Regarding Requirement for Deposit	8. 🛛 Examiner's Statemer	nt of Reasons for Allo	wance	
of Biological Material	9. □ Other	Collect		

Application/Control Number: 09/416,414 Page 2

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 29-96 are pending in this communication filed 07/01/05 entered as Miscellaneous Incoming Letter.

- 2. The Restriction Requirement of 06/09/05 is hereby withdrawn.
- 3. The Affidavit(s) (Rule 131 or 132) or Exhibit(s) received 02/11/05 have been reviewed and entered.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 29-96 are allowed.
- 5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: claim 1 having a computer-implemented method of finding queries with the greatest-valued or least valued results, computing the result of a computation for an attribute-valued string associated with each query in a set of related queries, comparing the results associated with the set of queries to determine one or more queries having the greatest-valued result or one or more queries having the least-valued result; selecting the pre-computed greatest-value list and the pre-computed least-valued list associated with at least one computation of the user query, determining queries in the selected pre-computed least-value and greatest-value list sharing one or more elements in common with the user query to provide one or more queries having corresponding greatest-valued and least-valued results to provide a set of maximum and minimum queries in claim 50; computing a result of the first computation for the attribute-valued string associated with each query in the set of related queries, comparing the results associated with the set of related queries to determine one or more queries having the greatest-valued result or

one or more queries having the least-valued result, and assigning another computation from the plurality of computations as the first computation in claim 54; a comparator for comparing the results associated with the set of related queries to determine one or more queries having the greatest-valued result or one or more queries having the least-valued result in claims 57, 93, and 96; and a computing device for determining queries in the selected pre-computed greatest-valued list sharing one or more elements in common with the user query to provide a set of maximum queries and determining queries in the selected pre-computed least-valued list sharing one or more elements in common with the user query to provide a set of minimum queries in claim 76 in conjunction with the other claim limitations was not disclosed by, would not have been obvious over, nor fairly suggested by the prior art of record.

6. The dependent claims 30-49, 51-53, 55, 56, 58-75, 77-92, 94, and 95 being further limiting to the independent claims, definite and fully enabled by the Specification are also allowable.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Thai (US 5,666,528) disclosed the optimization of database queries.

Application/Control Number: 09/416,414

Art Unit: 3624

Bohm et al (US 5,404,507) disclosed searching for records of database items and automatically creating database queries.

Herman, Gary; Gopal, Gita; Lee, K.C.; and Weinrib, Abel (ACM 1987) disclosed a nested-loop join algorithm for query processing.

Bowen, T.F.; Gopal, G.; Herman, G.; Hickey, T.; Lee, K.C.; Mansfield, W. H.; and Raitz, J.; and Weinrib, A. (ACM 1992) disclosed a model that represents the interest and relevance values of different concepts as specified by a user and filtering and extracting information.

Han, Jiawei (ACM March 1998) disclosed On-Line Analytical Mining (OLAP) for multi-dimensional analysis of data warehouses.

Inquiries

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ella Colbert whose telephone number is 571-272-6741. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 6:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 571-272-6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/416,414 Page 5

Art Unit: 3624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

July 19, 2005

VINCENT MILLIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Vines Mille